To: Louis Ivon and James Alcock From: Harold Weisberg Subject! Brian Ampolsk

I have given you copbes of the FBI report on Brian Ampolsk, who saw and had an intimate conversation with Oswald several days before the Canal - Street arrest. This report was not published by the Warren Commission, was not followed further by the FBI, and does reflect an unusual intimacy. When the FBI was allegedly looking for Oswald* associate, there is no indication Ampolsk was questioned about this or whether or not he volunteered this. I believe that if Oswald told Ampolsk such things as the time of his father's death, it is probable he told him other things of pertinence.

Yesterday I phoned him. There is phone listed in his name, that of his father is 887-9663 (1418 Haring Rd., Metairie). I spoke to someone I take to be a brother and learned that Brian is now in Baton Rouge, working for the State. His phone there is 334-8792.

Last night I received a phone call from a man identifying himself as Brian's father. He said the son was coming out of a long illness, apparently mental, and the father would prefer the entire thing be forgotten. He says he is worried bout his son's health. If I were a public official, like the FBI, he said, that would be okay. But because I am a writer he does not want me to speak to his son.

I told him that although there is no official connection, I have been working with Garrison*s office. I suggested that particularly if there were a question of his son's health, an informal interview might be desireable, more to Brian's interest. Ampolsk said this was a poor way of doing things. I suggested perhaps he had not thought this through. I told him that others prefered an informal approach when it was possible.

I also suggested that Brian might have disclosed some of what he knows to those close to him, like the family. His blunt and very fast negative response convinces me Brian and Ampolsk gave me to understand that the ilmnes is due to the effect of the assassination on Brian. This also suggests to me that Brian does have knowledge, that the FBI filed a report to keep itself in the clear yet said nothing, to hide him and his knowledge. It simply is not credible that Brian was so affected by the assassination he went into a five-year mental illness and yet had no pertinent knowledge.

The father said that if I, as I said I would, informed your office of our conversation, he wund then consult Brian's psychiatrist. He did not say doctor; he did say psychiatrist. He again said any talk would not be in his son's interest, forgetting he had already approved had I been FBI. It is therefore obvious other considerations than his son's health influence the father.

I asked him consider that a major crime had been committed, that it was being properly investigated by the only public official with the legal

TOTALLE SELECTION OF THE STORY OF THE STORY

2-Ampolsk

responsibility for conducting such an investigation, and he had already approved the interview by an pfficial. He then, abruptly, said he was very busy, rather unusual at night and with his son's health allegedly so heavy on his mind, and the conversation ended when I said good night.

Interestingly enough, Ampolsk never once suggested that his son had no knowledge that was pertinent. His attitude boils down to this; an interview off his son by federal authority would not in any way endanger his son's health, but one by the Orleans Parish District Attorney's Office would. This is palpably nonsense and tends to destroy the credibility of his appeal. I did assure him that I would not approach his son, and I have not.

I think the father's bluff could be called by asking him to release the psychiatrist from his obligation to hold what the son told him secret. I came not believe that the assassination had so serious an effect on the young man and his psychiatrist not having to explore it in depth. If the father is unwilling to do this, it would seem to me there would not be a proper invocation of his son's health as a block to his questioning.

It is also likely that the psychiatrist may have the most elaborate records of what the patient told him. Is this not more likely if the illness were of the duration claimed by the father?

Ampolsk - uning sit of Amz Mniss, approverly mental, and father how be world for his hull. M. D. Wer a public Michiel like RB, he hould lected. David Ches housing with g. with my with my Mix his lorner in, t Duzzet w This bendy we much my to The face he more to Brisin's mount. He said that this has a form way of days he think I D said beath ups he What no Monghe is through,
hat it the might be the the month of how many to have the hours with home has have the home has have the home has have the home has the home of the

Deil is that his some minter
When he purposed by
What he purson, the del my
Thout the summer ties the has
been willing from bushing
the office he has both
protected any tack his both
protected any tack his both
protected by purposed (Implify
muthing he had down it
would be purposed they in
which they had down it
would be purposed they in
which they had down it

the bonside has been bromather this builty, by for kerthery this drown to I'll with the for the first of the free the free free free free free from the first of the first of

in potent man is a potentially in potent man has to the son's for his desirate of a market and a fother hand what will have to the market will be the market with the market will be the market with market had a man of his desirato to man the man had the man had to see the work to the son had to see the property of the see the part of the see the